Computational Vision

LGN

- Opponent theory
- Feature detection



Past lecture





Representing colors: RGB space

- Ideally each component represented with floating point in the range (0,1)
- In practice: 8 bits per component or 24 bits per color, i.e., 16M possible colors
- For higher accuracy people use 10 bits or even 12 bits



Source: wikipedia



Original Image



Red Color Component



Green Color Component



Blue Color Component

Representing colors

Color space conversions correspond to matrix multiplications



Representing colors cont'd

- LAB space
 - L: lightness (matched to human lightness sensitivity)
 - A & B correspond to opponent channels (more next)
- LMS space:
 - Long, Medium and Short wavelength based on primate cone sensitivity
- HSV space:
 - Hue, Saturation and Value
- etc etc



Psychological description of color

- Subjective experience of color has a very different structure from that of the physical light
- Only 3 variables needed to describe the perception of color!
 - hue (dimension we associate with 'color')
 - saturation (also called chroma | color purity)
 - lightness (also called luminance or value)



change in saturation

change in lightness

Source: http://equasys.de/colorformat.html

Psychophysical correspondences

Spectra with approx. normal distributions
 (~monochromatic lights)



Color and brightness perception depends on context!





Color and brightness perception depends on context!









The Land-Mondrian experiments (1964)



Beyond trichromaticity theory

- Until mid 20th century trichromaticity theory widely accepted
- Color opponency proposed by Hering in the 19th century based on perception of pure colors
- Four color primaries arranged in pairs:
 - red/green
 - blue/yellow



- red spot -> green afterimage
- blue dot -> yellow afterimage

Opponent color theory

- Color opponency proposed by Hering in the 19th century based on perception of pure colors
 - colors never lost singly as predicted by trichromatic theory but in specific pairs
 - no bluish yellow or reddish green
- Clearly something is going on outside the retina...



Ewald Hering (1878 – 1964)

Opponent color theory





Hierarchical organization in the retina and beyond





Fig. 3. Light micrograph of a vertical section through central human retina.

Computing neurons



ganglion cells = retinal output / LGN

Spike generation

process introduces

non-linearity

Center surround organization







	1	1	1	1	1		
	1	1	1	1	1		
	1	1	1	1	1		
	1	1	1	1	1		
	1	1	1	1	1		

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1						-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1						-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1						-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1						-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1						-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Receptive fields (RFs)

$$y(x) = \sum w_i x_i$$

= $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
= $||\mathbf{w}|| ||\mathbf{x}|| \cos(\theta)$



Receptive fields (RFs)

$$y(x) = \sum w_i x_i$$

= $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
= $||\mathbf{w}|| ||\mathbf{x}|| \cos(\theta)$



LGN

 Magno vs. parvo (more when we talk about spatial frequencies)



Center surround organization

on center - off surround



off center - on surround



Center surround organization

on center - off surround

off center - on surround



Source: Hubel

Linear system theory

- A linear system is one in which the input-output behavior may be described in terms of a linear function:
 - e.g., $y = a^*x + b$
- What is a linear function?
 - obeys the rules of superposition and scaling
- Linear algebra provides a powerful tool for the analysis of complex, multivariate systems
- Although most systems in nature are non-linear, our understanding of them can still be aided by the intuitions and insights gained from linear systems analysis

Reverse correlation



Fig. 3. Simple cortical cells can be modeled as a linear system acting on a spatio-temporal volume followed by a spike encoder. The impulse response of the linear system, h(x, y, t), can be measured via reverse correlation when the system is stimulated with spatio-temporal white noise.

D. Ringach, R. Shapley/Cognitive Science 28 (2004) 147–166



Figure 1. The procedure for reconstructing visual stimuli from the responses of multiple neurons. a, Receptive fields of eight neurons recorded simultaneously with multielectrodes. These receptive fields were mapped with white-noise stimuli and the reverse correlation method (Sutter, 1987; Reid et al., 1997). Red, On responses. Blue, Off responses. The brightest colors correspond to the strongest responses. The area shown is 3.6 \times 3.6°. The responses of these cells were used to reconstruct visual inputs at the four pixels $(0.2^{\circ}/\text{pixel})$ outlined with the *white squares*. b, Linear filters for input reconstruction. The eight blocks correspond to the eight cells shown in a. Shown in each block are the four filters from that cell to the four pixels outlined in a. They represent the linear estimates of the input signals at these pixels immediately preceding and following a spike of that cell. Each filter is 3.1-sec-long, with 1.55 sec before and 1.55 sec after the spike. c, Spike trains of the eight neurons in response to movie stimuli. d, The actual (black) and the reconstructed (magenta) movie signals at the four pixels outlined in a. Unlike white noise, natural visual signals exhibit more low-frequency, slow variations than high-frequency, fast variations. Such temporal features are well captured by the reconstruction.



1 sec

Reconstruction of natural scenes from ensemble responses in the LGN





Reverse correlation: Color opponent neurons in the LGN



D. Ringach, R. Shapley/Cognitive Science 28 (2004) 147–166

Fig. 5. (A and B) Spatial kernels for parvocellular LGN cells obtained by performing reverse correlation in cone-isolating directions (Reid & Shapley, 2002).

157

Functional connectivity in the retina





Field et al '10



$$y(x) = \sum w_i x_i$$

= $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
= $||\mathbf{w}|| ||\mathbf{x}|| \cos(\theta)$

Neurons detect features

 (=patterns or templates) that are
 stored in their synaptic weights





What stimulus should I present to elicit the max response from the model unit?

















Filtering in image processing

- Filtering the image is a set of dot-products
- **Insight:** Filters look like the effects they are intended to find





Cortex vs. computers

Brains: Full-replication scheme



Retinotopy in early visual areas



source: Hubel

Cortex vs. computers

Brains: Full-replication scheme



Computers: Convolution



Neurons as feature detectors

- ~1M receptors
- 2.5-3.5M connecting neurons
- 0.5 M ganglion cells
- Each ganglion cell receives many inputs from the receptors
- Each receptor projects to many ganglion cells



Neurons as feature detectors



Fig. 1.Cajals drawing of ganglion cells of the frogs retina.

Neurons as feature detectors



We have been tempted for example, to call the convexity detectors [class 2] "bug perceivers". Such a fiber responds best when a dark object, smaller than a receptive field, enters that field, stops, and moves about intermittently thereafter. The response is not affected if the lighting changes or if the background (say a picture of grass and flowers) is moving, and is not there if only the background, moving or still, is in the field. Could one better describe a system for detecting an accessible bug? [Lettvin et al 1959]

Neurons as edge detectors



Neurons as edge detectors

$$\frac{\Delta I(x,y)}{\Delta x} \approx \frac{I(x + \Delta x) - I(x)}{\Delta x}$$

$$\approx I(x + 1) - I(x)$$
First derivative of I
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta} \frac{I}{x}$$
Second derivative of I
$$\frac{\delta^2 I}{\delta x^2}$$

Differential operators





Edges and contours play a special role in vision









Two-tone image



Contours of same image

Source: Cavanagh '95

Source: Biederman

Figure 11. Nine of the experimental objects.

Illusions and center-surround processing





Source: <u>http://www.nku.edu/~issues/illusions</u>

Illusions and center-surround processing





Source: <u>http://www.nku.edu/~issues/illusions</u>

Illusions and center-surround processing



Source: Adelson (2000)

Computing with RFs: Summary

- Basic model of neural processing
- Reverse engineering computations by trying to interpret synaptic weights
- Filtering, convolution, preferred stimulus, template matching

