CLPS 1500 Ecological Approach to Perception and Action Fall, 2013-14 (REVISED 9/12) Prof. William Warren

Course Website:	https://canvas.brown.edu/courses/835428 (Unregistered students have access through shopping period)
Class:	Tu, Th 10:30-11:50 Metcalf 105
Office Hours:	Tue. 1:00-2:00 (or by appointment), x3980 Metcalf 257
Required Text:	Gibson, J.J. (1979) <i>The ecological approach to visual perception</i> . Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
Rec. Texts:	Kelso, J.A.S. (1995) <i>Dynamic patterns: The self-organization of brain and behavior,</i> Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
	Camazine, et al. (2001) <i>Self-organization in biological systems</i> . Princeton University Press.

Course Requirements

This course combines discussion and lecture. The first 20-30 min of each class will be reserved for discussion of the topic I introduced in the previous class. We are all grown-ups, and I expect you to take responsibility for your part in the course:

- **1. Do the reading.** The *Reading* is required do the reading after the introductory lecture and before the next class, and come prepared to discuss it.
- **2. Blog/Discussion.** Post one written question or comment about each reading on the Discussion page by 9:00am the day of the class, and bring it to class for discussion. (You can skip up to 5 blog posts, plus skip the day you present a Report.)
- **3. Report & Debates.** You will present a 10-min report on a journal article to the rest of the class. We will also have two official debates, complete with teams.
- **4. Short essays.** There will be three 3-page essay papers on specified topics, which must be uploaded by 11:59pm the day the paper is due.
- **5. Term paper.** There will be a 10-page term paper on a topic of your choice derived from the course, due at the end of the semester.

Grading: Essays 13% each, term paper 30%, report 10%, debates 10%, blog/discussion 10%.

- **WARNING:** Academic dishonesty such as plagiarism, copying material from the web, or submitting work that is not your own is a serious offence, and can result in <u>an NC for the</u> <u>course or dismissal from Brown</u>. Read the Academic Code and follow these guidelines:
 - Cutting and pasting from websites is plagiarism. Wikipedia may be useful to get oriented to a topic and find relevant articles, but *it is not a valid source*.
 - Word-for-word quotation from any source MUST be in quotation marks and the source must be cited in the text. *Do not copy other people's work.*
 - Paraphrasing or summarizing the contents of another work is OK, but the source must be cited in the text. *Credit other people for their ideas.*
 - *All* sources used in writing an essay must be cited in the text and listed in a References section at the end of the paper.

Part I. The Controversy

Sept. 5 Indirect perception 1: The constructivist tradition

Background reading for first class: Gibson (1979) Introduction & Ch. 1. (Scan Ch. 2 & 3).

Sept. 10 Indirect perception 2: Computational approaches

Reading for discussion of "The constructivist tradition":
Proffitt, D. (1999) Inferential vs. ecological approaches to perception. In R.J. Sternberg (Ed.), The nature of cognition.
Rock, I. (1983) The logic of perception. Ch. 1.

Sept. 12 Direct perception

Reading for discussion of "Computational approaches":

Johnson-Laird, P. (1988) The computer and the mind. p. 57-80, 103-120.

Searle, J. (1990) Is the brain's mind a computer program? *Scientific American*, 262(1), 26-31. (Abbreviated version of Searle JR (1980) Minds, brains, and programs. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 3, 417-457.)

Knill, D.C., Kersten, D., & Yuille, A. (1996) A Bayesian formulation of visual perception. In Knill, D. & Richards, W. (Eds.) *Perception as Bayesian inference*, p. 1-21.

Part II. Perceiving the Environment

Sept. 17 Information 1: Ecological optics

Reading for discussion of "Direct perception":

Warren, W.H. (2005) Direct perception: The view from here. *Philosophical Topics*, *33*, 335-361.

Gibson (1967) Constancy and invariance in perception.

Cliff, D. & Noble, J. (1997) Knowledge-based vision and simple visual machines. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B*, 352, 1165-1175.

Sept. 19 Information 2: Sensory ecology

Reports:

Carew, T.J. (2000) Echolocation in bats. Behavioral Neurobiology, Ch. 2, p. 35-58.

Von der Emde, G. (1999) Active electrolocation of objects in weakly electric fish. *Journal* of Experimental Biology, 202, 1205-1215.

Reading for discussion of "Ecological optics": Gibson (1979) Ch. 4 & 5

Sept. 24 Perception of layout: Air theory vs. Ground theory

ESSAY 1 DUE - upload PDF file to the course website by 11:59 pm

Reading for discussion of "Sensory ecology":

Mandik, P. & Clark, A. (2002) Selective representing and world-making. *Minds and Machines*, 12, 383-395.

Chemero, A. (1998) A stroll through the worlds of animats and humans: Review of *Being there* by Andy Clark. *Psyche*, *4*, 1-10 (esp. p. 5-7).

Sept. 26 Perception of shape 1: Euclidean structure

Reports:

Sinai, M.J., Ooi, T.L., & He, Z. (1998) Terrain influences the accurate judgment of distance. *Nature*, 395, 497-500.

Ooi, T.L., Wu, B., & He, Z.J. (2001) Distance determined by the angular declination below the horizon. *Nature*, 414, 197-200.

Reading for discussion of "Perception of layout": Gibson (1979) Ch. 9

Loomis, J.M., da Silva, J.A., Philbeck, J.W., & Fukusima, S.S. (1996) Visual perception of location and distance. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *3*, 72-77.

Oct. 1 Perception of shape 2: Affine structure

Report:

Todd, J.T. & Perotti, V.J. (1999) The visual perception of surface orientation from optical motion. *Perception & Psychophysics, 61,* 1577-1589.

Reading for discussion of "Shape 1: Euclidean structure": Gibson (1979) Ch. 10 & 11

Oct. 3 Perception of shape 3: Topological structure

Reading for discussion of "Shape 2: Affine structure":

Todd, J.T. (2004) The visual perception of 3D shape. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 8,* 115-121.

Tittle, J.S., Todd, J.T., Perotti, V.J., & Norman, J.F. (1995) Systematic distortion of perceived three-dimensional structure from motion and binocular stereopsis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21, 663-678.

Part III. Visual Control of Action

Oct. 8 Affordances

Reading for discussion of "Shape 3: Topological structure":

Phillips, F., Todd, J.T., Koenderink, J.J., & Kappers, A.M.L. (2003) Perceptual representation of visible surfaces. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 65, 747-762.

Fleming, R.W., Holtmann-Rice, D., & Bulthoff, H.H. (2011) Estimation of 3D shape from image orientations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 20438-20443.

Oct. 10 Embodied perception

Reports:

Proffitt, D. R., Stefanucci, J., Banton, T., & Epstein, W. (2003). The role of effort in distance perception. *Psychological Science*, *14*, 106-113.

Witt, J. K., Linkenauger, S. A., Bakdash, J. Z., & Proffitt, D. R. (2008). Putting to a bigger hole: Golf performance relates to perceived size. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, 15, 581-585.

Reading for discussion of "Affordances":

Gibson, Ch. 8.

Warren, W. (1984) Perceiving affordances: Visual guidance of stair climbing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 10, 683-703.*

Oct. 15 Time-to-contact: The tau variable

Report:

- Lee, D. & Reddish, P. (1981) Plummeting gannets: A paradigm of ecological optics. *Nature*, 293, 293-294.
- Reading for discussion of "Embodied perception":
 - Proffitt, D. (2006) Embodied perception and the economy of action. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *1*, 110-122.
 - Firestone, C. (2013) How paternalistic is spatial perception? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *8*, 455-473.
 - Proffitt, D. (2013) An embodied approach to perception: By what units are visual perceptions scaled? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *8*, 474-483.

Oct. 17 On mechanism and learning

ESSAY 2 DUE – upload PDF file to the course website by 11:59 pm *Report:*

Wang, Y. & Frost, B.J. (1992) Time to collision is signaled by neurons in the nucleus rotundus of pigeons. *Nature*, *356*, 236-238.

Reading for discussion of "Time-to-contact":

- Lee, D. (1980) Visuo-motor coordination in space-time. Reprinted in G.J. Pepping & M.L. Grealy (Eds.) (2007), *Closing the gap: The scientific writings of David N. Lee.* Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, p. 259-277.
- Tresillian, J.R. (1999) Visually timed action: Time-out for tau? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *3*, 301-310.

Oct. 22 Catching and the outfielder problem

- *Reading for discussion of "On mechanism and learning":* Gibson (1979) Ch. 14
 - Van de Grind, W. (1988) The possible structure and role of neuronal smart mechanisms in vision. *Cognitive Systems*, 2, 163-180.
 - Jacobs, D.M. & Michaels, C.F. (2002) On the apparent paradox of learning and realism. *Ecological Psychology*, *14*, 127-139.

Oct. 24 Optic flow

Report:

Lee, D. N. & Aronson, E. (1974) Visual proprioceptive control of standing in human infants. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 15, 529-532.

Reading for discussion of "Catching":

- Montagne, G., Laurent, M., Durey, A., & Bootsma, R. (1999) Movement reversals in ball catching. *Experimental Brain Research*, 129, 87-92.
- Montagne, G., Laurent, M., & Durey, A. (1998) Visual guidance of goal-oriented locomotor displacements: The example of ball interception tasks. *Ecological Psychology*, *10*, 25-37.

Oct. 29 Visual control of posture and locomotion v1.0

Reports:

Srinivasan, M.V. (1998) Insects as Gibsonian animals. *Ecological Psychology*, 10, 251-270. Duchon, A.P. & Warren, W.H. (2002) A visual equalization strategy for locomotor control. *Psychological Science*, 13, 272-278.

Reading for discussion of "Optic flow": Gibson (1979) Ch. 7

Warren, W.H. (2004) Optic flow. In L. Chalupa & J. Werner (Eds.) *The Visual Neurosciences, v. II.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1247-1259.

Oct. 31 DEBATE 1: Direct vs. Indirect perception

Ullman, S. (1980) Against direct perception. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *3*, 373-415 [including as much of the peer commentary as you can stand].

Part IV. Coordination Dynamics

Nov. 5 Action – What's the problem?

Reading for discussion of "Locomotion v1.0":

Gibson (1979) Ch. 13

Rushton, et al. (1998) Guidance of locomotion on foot uses perceived target location rather than optic flow. *Current Biology*, *8*, 1191-1194.

Warren, et al. (2001) Optic flow is used to control human walking. *Nature Neuroscience*, *4*, 213-216.

Nov. 7 Motor programs and computational motor control

Reading for discussion of "Action – what's the problem?":

Turvey, M., Fitch, H., & Tuller, B. (1982) The Bernstein perspective:

I. The problems of degrees of freedom and context-conditioned variability.

II. The concept of muscle linkage or coordinative structure. In S. Kelso (Ed.), *Human motor behavior: An introduction*, p. 239-270.

Nov. 12 Self-organization, dynamical systems, and synergies

Reading for discussion of "Motor programs":

Schmidt, R.A. (1991) Movement production and motor programs. Ch. 4 of *Motor learning and performance*, p. 77-100.

Wolpert, D.M. (1997) Computational approaches to motor control. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *1*, 209-216.

Kelso, S. (1984) Contrasting perspectives on order and regulation in movement. In J. Long & A. Baddley (Eds.), *Attention and performance IX*. p. 437-457.

Nov. 14 Oscillators, resonance, and absolute coordination

Report:

Holt, K., Hamill, J., & Andres, R.O. (1990) The force-driven harmonic oscillator as a model for human locomotion. *Human Movement Science*, *9*, 55-68.

Reading for discussion of "Self-organization":

Camazine, et al. (2001) *Self-organization in biological systems*. Ch. 1, 2, 3. 5. Kelso, J.A.S. (1995) *Dynamic patterns: The self-organization of brain and behavior*, Ch.1 Warren, W. (2006) The dynamics of perception and action. *Psychological Review*, 113, p. 358-366 only.

Nov. 19 Phase transitions

Report:

Yamanishi, J., Kawato, M., & Suzuki, R. (1980). Two coupled oscillators as a model for coordinated finger tapping by both hands. *Biological Cybernetics*, 37, 219-225. *Reading for discussion of "Absolute coordination":* Strogatz, S.H. & Stewart, I. (1993) Coupled oscillators and biological synchronization. *Scientific American*, 269(12), 102-109.

Schmidt, R.C. & Turvey, M.T. (1989) Absolute coordination: An ecological perspective. In S.A. Wallace (Ed.) *Perspectives on the coordination of movement.*

Nov. 21 Relative coordination, mode locking, and variability

ESSAY 3 DUE – upload PDF file to course website by 11:59 pm *Reports:*

Miles, L.K., Griffiths, J.L., Richardson, M. J., & Macrae, C.H. (2010). Too late to coordinate: Contextual influences on behavioral synchrony. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40, 52-60.

Hoyt, D. F., & Taylor, C. R. (1981). Gait and the energetics of locomotion in horses. *Nature*, 292, 239-240.

Reading for discussion of "Phase transitions":

Kelso, J.A.S. (1995) Ch. 2.

Mechsner, F., Kerzel, D., Knoblich, G., & Prinz, W. (2001) Perceptual basis of bimanual coordination. *Nature*, 414, 69-73.

Part V. Behavioral Dynamics

Nov. 26 Dynamics of perception and action

Reading for discussion of "Relative coordination":

Kelso, J.A.S. (1995) Ch.4.

Kay, B.A. & Warren, W.H. (1998). A dynamical model of the coupling between posture and gait. Theory and research. In D. A. Rosenbaum & C. E. Collyer (Eds.), *Timing of behavior: Neural, computational, and psychological perspectives*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 293-322.

Nov. 28 THANKSGIVING BREAK

Dec. 3 Visual control of posture and locomotion v2.0 PAPER TOPIC DUE - bring to class

Reports:

Collins, S., Ruina, A., Tedrake, R., & Wisse, M. (2005) Efficient bipedal robots based on passive-dynamic walkers. *Science*, 307, 1082-1085.

Matthis, J.S. & Fajen, B.F. (2013) Humans exploit the biomechanics of bipedal gait during visually guided walking over complex terrain. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 280, Reading for discussion of "Dynamics of perception and action":*

Warren, W. (2006), The dynamics of perception and action, cont. p. 366-385.

Richardson, M.J., Shockley, K., Fajen, B.R., Riley, M.A., & Turvey, M.T. (2008) Ecological psychology: Six principles for an embodied-embedded approach to behavior. In P. Calvo & A. Gomila (Eds.) *Handbook of Cognitive Science: An Embodied Approach* (p. 161-187). Academic Press.

Dec. 5 Collective behavior: From agents to swarms

Report:

Moussaid, M., Helbing, D., Theraulaz, G. (2011) How simple rules determine pedestrian behavior and crowd diasters. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108*(17), 6884–6888.

Reading for discussion of "Posture and locomotion v2.0":

Schöner, G. (1998) Action-perception patterns emerge from coupling and adaptation. *Ecological Psychology*, *10*, 323-346.

Bonneaud, S. & Warren, W.H. (2012) A behavioral dynamics approach to modeling realistic pedestrian behavior. Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics conference.

Dec. 10 DEBATE: Dynamics vs. Representation

van Gelder, T. (1996) Dynamics and cognition. In Haugeland (Ed) *Mind Design II*, Ch. 16.

Clark, A. (2001) Mindware, Ch. 6 & 7.

Dec. 17 Tue. TERM PAPER DUE - upload PDF file to course website by 11:59 pm

Going Further

Gregory, R.L. (1980) Perceptions as hypotheses. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, B, 290, 181-197.*

- Palmer, S.E. (1999) Vision science: Photons to phenomenology, Ch. 1 and 2. MIT Press.
- Computational approaches
 - Chemero, A. (2009) Theories of representation. Ch. 3 of *Radical embodied cognitive science*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
 - Marr, D. (1980) Visual information processing: The structure and creation of visual representations. *Phil Trans of the Royal Society of London B*, 290, 199-218.
 - Warren, W.H. (2012) Does this computational theory solve the right problem? Marr, Gibson, and the goal of vision. *Perception*, *41*, 1053-1060 (special issue on the 30th anniversary of David Marr's book *Vision*).
 - Kersten, D. & Yuille, A. (2003) Bayesian models of object perception. Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 13, 150-158.
 - Ernst, M. & Bulthoff, H. (2004) Humans integrate visual and haptic information in a statistically optimal fashion. *Nature*, 415, 429-433.
 - Tassinari, H., Domini, F. & Caudek, C. (2008) The intrinsic constraint model for stereomotion integration. *Perception*, 37, 79-95.

Direct perception

- Shaw, R.E., Turvey, M.T., & Mace, W.M. (1981). Ecological psychology: The consequence of a commitment to realism. In W. Weimer & D. Palermo (Eds.), *Cognition and the symbolic processes*, II (pp. 159-226). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Shaw, R.E. (2003) The agent-environment interface: Simon's indirect or Gibson's direct coupling? *Ecological Psychology* 15, 37-106.
- Huemer, M. (2001) *Skepticism and the veil of perception*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

Ecological optics

Sedgwick, H.A. (1986) Space perception. In K.R. Boff, L. Kaufman, & J.P. Thomas (Eds.) *Handbook of perception and human performance*. New York: Wiley, p. 21.1–21.57.

Geisler, W.S., Perry, J.S., Super, B.J., & Gallogly, D.P. (2001) Edge co-occurrence in natural images predicts contour grouping performance. *Vision Research*, *41*, 711-724.

Sensory ecology

- Au, W.W.L. & Simmons, J.A. (2007) Echolocation in dolphins and bats. *Physics Today* (Sept.), 40-45.
- von Uexküll, J. (1934) A stroll through the worlds of animals and men. In K. Lashley (Ed.), *Instinctive Behavior*, New York: International Press.
- Varela, F., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). *The embodied mind*, Ch. 3, 5, 7-9. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chemero, A. (2007) Toward a situated, embodied realism. Cognition and Behavior

Perception of layout

Loomis, J.M. & Philbeck, J.W. (2008) Measuring spatial perception with spatial updating and action. In R. Klatzky, B. MacWhinney, & M. Behrmann (Eds.), *Embodiment, egospace, and action.* New York: Psychology Press, 1-43.

Shape 1: Euclidean structure

- Ullman, S. (1979) The interpretation of structure from motion. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B, 203, 405-426.*
- Braunstein, M.L., Liter, J.C., & Tittle, J.S. (1993) Recovering three-dimensional shape from perspective transformations and orthographic rotations. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 19,* 598-614.
- Norman, J.F., Todd, J.T., Perotti, V.J., & Tittle, J.S. (1996) The visual perception of threedimensional length. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 22, 173-186.
- Shape 2: Affine structure
 - Todd, J.T. & Bressan, P. (1990) The perception of 3-dimensional affine structure from minimal apparent motion sequences. *Perception & Psychophysics, 48,* 419-430.
 - Domini, F. & Caudek, C. (2003) 3-D structure perceived from dynamic information: A new theory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *7*, 444-449.
 - Koenderink, J.J., van Doorn, A.J., Kappers, A.M.L., & Todd, J.T. (2001) Ambiguity and the 'mental eye' in pictorial relief. *Perception*, *30*, 431-448.

Shape 3: Topological structure

- Lappin, J.S., Norman, J.F., & Phillips, F. (2011) Fechner, information, and shape perception. *Attention, Perception & Psychophysics*, 73, 2353-2378.
- Koenderink, J. J., & van Doorn, A. J. (1995) Relief: Pictorial and otherwise. *Image and Vision Computing*, 13, 321-334.
- Perotti, V.J., Todd, J.T., Lappin, J.S., & Phillips, F. (1998) The perception of surface curvature from optical motion. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 60, 377-388.
- Fleming, R.W., Torralba, A., & Adelson, E.H. (2004) Specular reflections and the perception of shape. *Journal of Vision*, *4*, 798-820.
- Todd, J.T., Thaler, L., Dijkstra, T.M.H., Koenderink, J.J., & Kappers, A.M.L. (2007) The effects of viewing angle, camera angle, and sign of curvature on the perception of three-dimensional shape from texture. *Journal of Vision*, *7*, 1-16.

Affordances

- Warren, W. H., & Whang, S. (1987). Visual guidance of walking through apertures: Body scaled information for affordances. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 13, 371-383.
- Mark, L. S. (1987). Eyeheight-scaled information about affordances: A study of sitting and stair climbing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 13, 360-370.
- Stoffregen, T.A., Yang, C.-M., Giveans, M.R., Flanagan, M., & Bardy, B.G. (2009) Movement in the perception of an affordance for wheelchair locomotion. *Ecological Psychology*, 21, 1-36.
- Turvey, M.T. (1992) Affordances and prospective control: An outline of the ontology. *Ecological Psychology*, *4*, 173-187.
- Chemero, A. (2003) An outline of a theory of affordances. *Ecological Psychology*, *15*, 181-195.

Embodied perception

Noe, A. (2006) Action in Perception, Ch. 1-3. MIT Press.

Witt, J. K., Proffitt, D.R., & Epstein, W. (2005). Tool use affects perceived distance but only when you intend to use it. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *31*, 880-888.

- Durgin, F. H., Baird, J. A., Greenburg, M., Russell, R., Shaughnessy, K., & Waymouth, S. (2009). Who is being deceived? The experimental demands of wearing a backpack. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, *16*, 964–969.
- Woods, A.J., Philbeck, J.W., & Danoff, J.V. (2009) The various perceptions of distance: An alternative view of how effort affects distance judgments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 35, 1104-1117.
- Witt, J. K., Proffitt, D. R., & Epstein, W. (2010). When and how does action scale perception? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *36*, 1153–1160.
- Witt, J. K., & Riley, M. (2012). Getting in touch with your inner Gibson: Reconciling action-specific and ecological approaches. Submitted for publication, 1-15.

Time-to-contact

- Warren, W.H. (2007) Action-scaled information comment on Lee (1980). In G.J. Pepping & M.L. Grealy (Eds.), *Closing the gap: The scientific writings of David N. Lee.* Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, 253-268.
- Bootsma, R. J. & van Wieringen, P. (1990). Timing an attacking forehand drive in table tennis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 16(1), 21-29.
- Gray, R., & Regan, D. (1998). Accuracy of estimating time to collision using binocular and monocular information. *Vision Research*, *38*, 499-512.

Rushton, S. K., & Wann, J. P. (1999). Weighted combination of size and disparity: A computational model for timing a ball catch. *Nature Neuroscience*, *2*, 186-190.

- Hecht, H. & Savelsbergh, G. J. P. (2004). *Theories of Time-to-Contact. Advances in Psychology* (Vol. 135). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Lee, D. N. (1976). A theory of visual control of braking based on information about time-to-collision. *Perception*, *5*(4), 437-459.
- Yilmaz, E. H., & Warren, W. H. (1995). Visual control of braking: A test of the tau-dot hypothesis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21, 996-1014.
- Fajen, B.R. (2005) Calibration, information, and control strategies for braking to avoid a collision. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 31,* 480-501.

On mechanism and learning

- Sun, H. & Frost, B.J. (1998) Computation of different optical variables of looming objects in pigeon nucleus rotundus neurons. *Nature Neuroscience*, *1*, 296-303.
- Norman, J. (2002). Two visual systems and two theories of perception: An attempt to reconcile the constructivist and ecological approaches. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 25, 73-144.
- Smith, M. R., Flach, J. M., Dittman, S. M., & Stanard, T. (2001). Monocular optical constraints on collision control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 27, 395–410.
- Michaels, C.F., Arzamarski, R., Isenhower, R.W., & Jacobs, D.M. (2008) Direct learning in dynamic touch. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *34*, 944-957.
- Fajen, B. R. (2008). Learning novel mappings from optic flow to the control of action. *Journal of Vision, 8*, 1-12.

Catching and the outfielder problem

- Peper, L., Bootsma, R. J., Mestre, D. R., & Bakker, F. C. (1994). Catching balls: How to get the hand to the right place at the right time. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 20, 591-612.
- Arzamarski, R., Harrison, S.J., Hajnal, A., & Michaels, C.F. (2007) Lateral ball interception: hand movements during linear ball trajectories. *Experimental Brain Research*, *177*, 312-323.
- McBeath, M. K., Shaffer, D. M., & Kaiser, M. K. (1995). How baseball outfielders determine where to run to catch fly balls. *Science*, *268*, 569-573.
- Fink, P.W., Foo, P.S., & Warren, W.H. (2009) Catching fly balls in virtual reality: A critical test of the outfielder problem. *Journal of Vision*, *9*, 1-8.
- Craig, C.M., Goulon, C., Berton, E., Rao, G., Fernandez, L., & Bootsma, R. (2009) Optic variables used to judge future ball arrival position in expert and novice soccer players. *Attention, Perception & Psychophysics, 71*, 515-522.

Optic flow

- Warren, W. H., & Hannon, D. J. (1988). Direction of self-motion is perceived from optical flow. *Nature*, 336(6195), 162-163.
- Banks, M. S., Ehrlich, S. M., Backus, B. T., & Crowell, J. A. (1996). Estimating heading during real and simulated eye movements. *Vision Research*, *36*, 431-443.
- Li, L., & Warren, W. H. (2000). Perception of heading during rotation: Sufficiency of dense motion parallax and reference objects. *Vision Research*, 40, 3873-3894.
- Li, L. & Cheng, C.K. (2011). Perceiving path from optic flow. *Journal of Vision*, 11(1):22, 1-15.
- Debate 1: Direct vs. indirect perception
 - Fodor, J.A. & Pylyshyn, Z.W. (1981) How direct is visual perception? Some reflections on Gibson's "Ecological Approach." *Cognition*, *9*, 139-196.
 - Turvey, M. T., Shaw, R. E., Reed, E. S., & Mace, W. M. (1981). Ecological laws of perceiving and acting: In reply to Fodor and Pylyshyn (1981). *Cognition*, *9*, 237-304.

Visual control of posture and locomotion v1.0

- Lee, D. & Lishman, J. (1975) Visual proprioceptive control of stance. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, *1*, 87-95.
- Rushton, S. K. (2004). Egocentric direction and locomotion. In L. Vaina, S. A. Beardsley & S. K. Rushton (Eds.), *Optic flow and beyond* (pp. 339-362). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Bruggeman, H., Zosh, W., & Warren, W. H. (2007). Optic flow drives human visuolocomotor adaptation. *Current Biology*, *17*, 2035-2040.
- Patla, A. E. (1998). How is human gait controlled by vision? *Ecological Psychology*, 10, 287-302.
- Warren, W.H., Young, D.S., & Lee, D.N. (1986) Visual control of step length during running over irregular terrain. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *12*, 259-266.
- Pepping, G.J. & Grealy, M.L. (Eds.) (2007) *Closing the gap: The scientific writings of David N. Lee.* Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Fajen, B. R. (2005). Perceiving possibilities for action: On the necessity of calibration and perceptual learning for the visual guidance of action. *Perception*, 34 (6), 741-755.

Action – what's the problem?

- Turvey, M. T. (1990). Coordination. American Psychologist, 45(8), 938-953.
- Latash, M. L. (1996). The Bernstein Problem: How does the central nervous system make its choices? In M. L. Latash & M. T. Turvey (Eds.) *Dexterity and its Development* (pp. 277-303).

Latash M.L. (2008) Synergy. Oxford University Press: New York (Parts 3 & 4).

Motor programs and computational motor control

- Jordan, M. I., & Wolpert, D. M. (1999). Computational motor control. In M. Gazzaniga (Ed.), *The cognitive neurosciences* (pp. 601-620). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kawato, M. (1999). Internal models for motor control and trajectory planning. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *9*, 718–727.
- Körding KP & Wolpert DM (2006). Bayesian decision theory in sensorimotor control. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(7), 319-326.
- Todorov, E., & Jordan, M. I. (2002). Optimal feedback control as a theory of motor coordination. *Nature Neuroscience*, *5*, 1226-1235.
- Schall, S., Mohajerian, P., & Ijspeert, A. (2007) Dynamic systems vs. optimal control a unifying view. In P. Cisek, T. Drew, & J.F. Kalaska (Eds.) *Progress in brain research*, v. 165. Elsevier, p. 425-445.
- Self-organization, dynamical systems, and synergies
 - Haken, H. (2006) *Information and self-organization* (3rd Edition), Ch. 1. Berlin: Springer.
 Turvey, M. T., & Carello, C. (1996). Dynamics of Bernstein's level of synergies. In M.
 Latash. & M. T. Turvey (Eds.), *Dexterity and its development* (pp. 339-376). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
 - D'Avella, A., & Bizzi, E. (1998). Low dimensionality of supraspinally induced force fields. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *95*, 7711-7714.
 - Scholz J. P., Schöner G. (1999). The uncontrolled manifold concept: identifying control variables for a functional task. *Experimental Brain Research*, *126*, 289–306.
 - Huys, R., Perdikis, D., & Jirsa, V.K. (2013) Functional architectures and Structured Flows on Manifolds: A dynamical framework for perceptual-motor control. Unpublished manuscript.
 - Turvey, M. T. (2007). Action and perception at the level of synergies. *Human Movement Science*, *26*, 657-697.

Oscillators, resonance, and absolute coordination

- Glass, A. (2001) Synchronization and rhythmic processes in physiology. *Nature*, 410, 277-284.
- Kay, B., Kelso, J. A. S., Saltzman, E., & Schöner, G. (1987). Space-time behavior of single and bi-manual rhythmical movements: Data and limit cycle model. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 13, 178-192.
- Goodman, L., Riley, M., Mitra, S., & Turvey, M. T. (2000). Advantages of rhythmic movements at resonance: Minimal active degrees of freedom, minimal noise, and maximal predictability. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 32, 3-8.
- Park, H., & Turvey, M. T. (2008). Imperfect symmetry and the elementary coordination law. In A. Fuchs, & V. K. Jirsa (Eds.), *Coordination: neural, behavioral and social dynamics* (pp. 3–25). Berlin: Springer.
- Riley, M.A., Richardson, M.J., Shockley, K., & Ramenzoni, V.C. (2011) Interpersonal synergies. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *2*, Article 38, 1-7.

Phase transitions

- Haken, H., Kelso, J. A. S., & Bunz, H. (1985). A theoretical model of phase transitions in human hand movements. *Biological Cybernetics*, 51, 347-356.
- Bingham, G. P., Zaal, F. T. J. M., Shull, J. A., & Collins, D. R. (2001). The effect of frequency on the visual perception of relative phase and phase variability of two oscillating objects. *Experimental Brain Research*, *136*, 543-552.

- Diedrich, F.J. & Warren, W.H. (1998) Dynamics of human gait transitions. In Rosenbaum, D.A. & Collyer, C.E., (Eds.) *Timing of behavior: Neural, psychological, and computational perspectives.*
- Bardy, B., Oullier, O., Bootsma, R. J., & Stoffregen, T. A. (2002). Dynamics of human postural transitions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 28, 499-514.*
- Schmidt, R. C., Carello, C., & Turvey, M. T. (1990). Phase transitions and critical fluctuations in the visual coordination of rhythmic movements between people. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 16, 227-247.
- Sternad, D. (2008) Toward a unified theory of rhythmic and discrete movements behavioral, modeling, and imaging results. In A. Fuchs, & V. K. Jirsa (Eds.), *Coordination: neural, behavioral and social dynamics* (pp. 105-133). Berlin: Springer.
- Jirsa, V.K. & Kelso, J.A.S. (2005) The excitator as a minimal model for the coordination dynamics of discrete and rhythmic movement generation. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 37, 35-51.

Relative coordination

- Beek, P. J., & Turvey, M. T. (1992). Temporal patterning in cascade juggling. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 18, 934-947.
- Peper, C. E., Beek, P. J., & van Wieringen, P. C. W. (1995). Multifrequency coordination in bimanual tapping: Asymmetrical coupling and signs of supercriticality. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21, 1117-1138.
- Jagacinski, R.J., Peper, C, & Beek, P. (2000). Dynamic, stochastic, and topological aspects of polyrhythmic performance. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, *32*, 323-336.
- Daffertshofer, A., Huys, R., & Beek, P.J. (2004) Dynamical coupling between locomotion and respiration. *Biological Cybernetics*, *90*, 157-164.
- Balasubramaniam, R. & Turvey, M.T. (2004) Coordination modes in the multisegmental dynamics of hula hooping. *Biological Cybernetics*, *90*, 176-190.
- Riley, M., & Turvey, M. T. (2002). Variability and determinism in motor behavior. *Journal* of Motor Behavior, 34, 99-125.

Dynamics of perception and action

- Goldfield, G., Kay, B., & Warren, W.H. (1993) Infant bouncing: The assembly and tuning of action systems. *Child Development*, *64*, 1128-1142.
- Sternad, D., Duarte, M., Katsumata, H., & Schaal, S. (2001). Bouncing a ball: Tuning into dynamic stability. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 27, 1163-1184.
- Siegler, I.A., Bazile, C., & Warren, W.H. (2013) 'Mixed' control for perception and action: Timing and error correction in rhythmic ball bouncing. *Experimental Brain Research*, 226, 603-615.
- Jacobs, D.M, Vaz, D.V., & Michaels, C.F. (2012) The learning of visually-guided action: An information-space analysis of pole-balancing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 38,* 1215-1227.
- Lopresti-Goodman, S.M., Turvey, M.T., & Frank, T.D. (2011) Behavioral dynamics of the affordance 'graspable'. *Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics*, 73, 1948-1965.
- Loomis, J. M. & Beall, A. C. (2004). Model-based control of perception/action. In L. Vaina, S. Beardsley, and S. Rushton (Eds.). *Optic Flow and Beyond* (pp. 421-441). Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Beer, R.D. (2008). Beyond control: The dynamics of brain-body-environment interaction in motor systems. In D. Sternad (Ed.), *Progress in Motor Control V: A Multidisciplinary Perspective*. Springer.

Visual control of posture and locomotion v2.0

- Schöner, G. (1991). Dynamic theory of action-perception patterns: The 'moving room' paradigm. *Biological Cybernetics*, *64*, 455-462.
- Riley, M.A., Balasubramaniam, R. & Turvey, M. T. (1999). Recurrence quantification analysis of postural fluctuations. *Gait & Posture*, *9*, 65-78.
- Kuo, A.D. (2007) The six determinants of gait and the inverted pendulum analogy: A dynamic walking perspective. *Human Movement Science*, *26*, 617-656.
- Dickinson, M., Farley, C., Full, R. J., et al. (2000). How animals move: An integrative view. *Science*, *288*, 100-106.
- Holmes, P., Full, R.J., Koditschek, D. & Guckenheimer, J. (2006) The dynamics of legged locomotion: Models, analyses, challenges. *SIAM Review*, 48, 207-304.
- Srinivasan, M. & Ruina, A. (2006) Computer optimization of a minimal biped model discovers walking and running. *Nature*, 439, 72-75.

Pedestrian and swarm dynamics

- Warren, W.H. & Fajen, B.R. (2008) Behavioral dynamics of visually-guided locomotion. In A. Fuchs & V. Jirsa (Eds.), *Coordination: Neural, behavioral, and social dynamics*. Heidelberg: Springer.
- Wilkie, R. M., & Wann, J. P. (2003). Controlling steering and judging heading: Retinal flow, visual direction, and extra-retinal information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *29*, 363-378.
- Reynolds, C. W. (1987). Flocks, herds, and schools: a distributed behavioral model. *Computer Graphics*, 21, 25-34.
- Camazine, Ch. 11.
- Helbing, D., Molnar, P. (1995) Social force model for pedestrian dynamics. *Physical Review E* 51, 4282-4286.
- Sumpter, D.J.T., Mann, R.P., & Perna, A. (2012). The modelling cycle for collective animal behaviour. *Interface Focus*, 2(6), 764-773.
- Vicsek, T., & Zafeiris, A. (2012). Collective motion. Physics Reports, 517, 71-140.

Debate 2: Dynamics vs. representation

- Brooks, R.A. (1991) Intelligence without representation. In Haugeland (Ed) *Mind Design II*, Ch. 15.
- Markman, A. & Dietrich, E. (2000) Extending the classical view of representation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *4*, 470-475.
- Chemero, A. (2001) Dynamical explanation and mental representations. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *5*, 141-2.
- Keijzer, F. (1998). Doing without representations which specify what to do. *Philosophical Psychology*, *11*, 269–302.
- Van Gelder, T. (1998) The dynamical hypothesis in cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 21, 615-665.
- Chemero, A. (2009) The dynamical stance. Ch. 4 of *Radical embodied cognitive science*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Barandiaran, X. & Moreno, A. (2006) On what makes certain dynamical systems cognitive: A minimally cognitive organization program. *Adaptive Behavior*, *14*, 171-185.
- van Duijn, M., Keijzer, F., & Franken, D. (2006) Principles of minimal cognition: Casting cognition as sensorimotor coordination. *Adaptive Behavior*, 14, 157-170.

- Silberstein, M. & Chemero, A. (2012) Complexity and extended phenomenologicalcognitive systems. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, *4*, 35-50.
- Botvinick, M. (2012) Commentary: Why I am not a dynamicist. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, *4*, 78-83.
- Stepp, N. & Turvey, M.T. (2010) On strong anticipation. *Cognitive Systems Research*, 11, 148-164.